

9 MAR 76 02 28z

S E C R E T 082245Z MAR 76 STAFF

CITE DIRECTOR [REDACTED]

TO: PRIORITY TEHRAN.

RYBAT EYES ONLY

TO AMBASSADOR HELMS FROM WARNER

1. WITH REGARD TO THE BOHMER CASE IN SAN DIEGO THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE ASKED US TO TRANSMIT TO YOU THE FOLLOWING LETTERS WHICH THEY HAVE SENT TO THE STATE DEPARTMENT FOR TRANSMITTAL BY POUCH AS OF MARCH 3. WE DISCUSSED THESE LETTERS WITH THE DEPARTMENT ON 8 MARCH AND WERE UNABLE TO CONVINCE THEM THAT THEY WERE NOT NECESSARY. WE ARE NOT IN A POSITION TO MAKE A DECISION ON THIS MATTER. HOWEVER, SHOULD YOU WANT US TO MAKE THESE LETTERS AVAILABLE TO YOUR ATTORNEY MR. WILLIAMS, I WILL BE GLAD TO. THERE IS SOME URGENCY IN RESPONDING IN THAT THE JUSTICE DEPARTMENT FEELS THAT AN ANSWER IN THE CASE MUST BE FILED ON 17 MARCH. IN ORDER FOR JUSTICE TO CONTINUE TO REPRESENT YOU IN THE CASE THEY NEED AN ANSWER. SHOULD YOU SIGN THIS LETTER, NOTIFICATION VIA [REDACTED] WOULD BE A TIMELY RESPONSE.

2. LETTER (1) IS AS FOLLOWS:

DEAR MR. AMBASSADOR:

REFERENCE IS MADE TO YOUR LETTER OF FEBRUARY 23, 1975 TO THE ATTORNEY GENERAL REQUESTING THAT THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE REPRESENT YOU IN YOUR PERSONAL AND FORMER OFFICIAL CAPACITIES IN THE REFERENCED CIVIL ACTION.

THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IS CURRENTLY CONDUCTING CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS INTO POSSIBLE VIOLATIONS OF THE LAWS OF THE UNITED STATES, WHICH INVESTIGATIONS ENCOMPASS CERTAIN OF THE MISCONDUCT ATTRIBUTED GENERALLY TO THE DEFENDANTS IN THE REFERENCED CIVIL ACTION.

THE PENDENCY OF THESE INVESTIGATIONS REQUIRES THAT WE ENSURE THAT YOU ARE FULLY APPRISED OF OUR RESPONSIBILITIES BOTH TO YOU AND TO THE UNITED STATES IN CONNECTION WITH OUR REPRESENTATION.

APPROVED FOR RELEASE
DATE: MAR 2008

HR70-145
(U)

PAGE 2 DIRECTOR [] S E C R E T

SENTATION OF YOU IN THIS CIVIL ACTION. THESE TERMS AND CONDITIONS ARE SET FORTH IN THE ENCLOSED RETURN LETTER. IF YOU WISH US TO CONTINUE ACTING IN YOUR DEFENSE IN THIS ACTION, PLEASE SIGN AND RETURN THAT LETTER TO US. UPON RECEIPT THEREOF, WE WILL COMMUNICATE WITH YOU FURTHER WITH RESPECT TO THE ANSWER TO COMPLAINT IN YOUR BEHALF NOW UNDER PREPARATION.

SINCERELY, ROBERT L. KEUCH

3. LETTER (2) IS AS FOLLOWS:

DEAR MR. KEUCH:

THIS IS IN REPLY TO YOUR LETTER ADVISING THAT THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE'S CONTINUED REPRESENTATION OF ME IN THE REFERENCED CIVIL ACTION IS DEPENDENT UPON OUR REACHING A CLEAR UNDERSTANDING OF YOUR RESPONSIBILITIES BOTH TO ME AND TO THE UNITED STATES. SUCH AN UNDERSTANDING IS ACCEPTABLE TO ME, AND I THEREFORE REQUEST THAT THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE CONTINUE TO REPRESENT ME IN THIS CASE SUBJECT TO THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS:

- (1) I UNDERTAKE TO PROVIDE ANY INFORMATION REGARDING THIS LITIGATION REQUESTED BY YOUR OFFICE AND WILL DO SO FREELY AND WITHOUT CONDITION.
- (2) I UNDERSTAND THAT IN THE EVENT THAT THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE ATTORNEYS ASSIGNED TO REPRESENT ME DETERMINE THAT ANY INFORMATION SUPPLIED BY ME IN THE COURSE OF SUCH REPRESENTATION SHOULD BE MADE AVAILABLE FOR USE IN OR CONSIDERATION OF ANY FEDERAL CRIMINAL OR CIVIL PROCEEDINGS IN WHICH I MIGHT BECOME A PARTY, THEY MAY DO SO; AND I FREELY AND WITHOUT RESERVATION CONSENT TO SUCH DISCLOSURE AND USE AND HEREBY WAIVE ANY RIGHTS THAT I MAY HAVE TO OBJECT TO SUCH DISCLOSURE OR USE OR TO OTHERWISE CHALLENGE ANY SUCH ACTION BY THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE.
- (3) I UNDERSTAND THAT THE FOREGOING WAIVER MAY RESULT IN THE USE OF SUCH MATERIAL AGAINST ME IN FEDERAL CIVIL OR CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS TO MY DETRIMENT.
- (4) I UNDERSTAND THAT IF THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE CONCLUDES THAT ANY REPRESENTATION PROVIDED ME WOULD, IF CONTINUED, CONSTITUTE A CONFLICT OF INTEREST, THEN THE DEPARTMENT WILL WITHDRAW SUCH REPRESENTATION.
- (5) I FURTHER UNDERSTAND THAT IF, IN THE OPINION OF DEPART-

PAGE 3 DIRECTOR [REDACTED] S E C R E T

MENT OF JUSTICE ATTORNEYS, A CONFLICT SHOULD ARISE BETWEEN THE RESPECTIVE INTERESTS OF THE DEFENDANTS THAT THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IS REPRESENTING, THEN THE DEPARTMENT MAY WITHDRAW ITS REPRESENTATION OF SUCH DEFENDANTS.

THE FOREGOING CONDITIONS HAVE BEEN FULLY EXPLAINED TO ME, AND I CONSENT THERETO FREELY AND WITHOUT RESERVATION.

DATED: RICHARD HELMS

4. REASON THIS REPRESENTATION IS DIFFERENT FROM OTHER MAIL INTERCEPT CASES IS DUE TO BOHMER CASE BEING HANDLED BY SPECIAL LITIGATION SECTION IN THE CRIMINAL DIVISION. REGARDS. E2 IMPDET.
S E C R E T